

Serial No.: 10/517,305
Atty. Docket No.: P70298US0

REMARKS

In response to the Final Office Action mailed May 7, 2007, Applicant filed an Amendment on August 6, 2007 ("the August Amendment") amending claims 13 and 19. By Advisory Action mailed August 21, 2007, the Examiner stated that the August Amendment would not be entered as it raised new issues that would require further search and consideration. Specifically, the Examiner explained that the amendments to claims 13 and 19 seemed to indicate that a *single bistatic pair* of a transmitter and receiver was placed at *plural locations*. The Examiner further stated that Applicant probably intended to express that there are a plurality of bistatic pairs that are placed at different ones of a plurality of locations.

In reviewing the Advisory Action, Applicant appreciates the Examiner's clarity in stating the issue and notes that the Examiner is correct with respect to the subject matter Applicant intended to claim. Particularly, the amendments to claims 13 and 19 in the August Amendment were intended to define the claimed method as using a plurality of bistatic pairs, with the term "bistatic pair" being defined as a transmitter and a receiver placed at different points.

Serial No.: 10/517,305
Atty. Docket No.: P70298US0

In the Final Action, the Examiner rejected claims 13-24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite but stated that claims 13-24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Since this issue would seem to be one that could be resolved after "final", Applicant has herein amended claims 13 and 19 in an alternative manner to better express the subject matter intended to be claimed as just summarized. Claims 13-24 remain pending in the application. Claims 13 and 19 are independent.

To summarize from the remarks of the August Amendment, a "bistatic pair" constitutes a receiver and a transmitter that are placed at different points, with each such bistatic pair being defined in the claims as a measuring facility.

Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance of claims 13 and 19, as well as claims 14-18 and 20-24 properly dependent thereon, is requested in accordance with the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter and the claims as amended herein.

With this amendment and the foregoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any questions

Serial No.: 10/517,305
Atty. Docket No.: P70298US0

or comments, the Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned attorney so that the present application can receive an early Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By *Harvey B. Jacobson, Jr.* No 40,495
Harvey B. Jacobson, Jr.
Reg. No. 20,851

400 Seventh Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201
Telephone: (202) 638-6666
Date: September 7, 2007
HBJ/SCB

R:\SBAILEY\2007\09-07\P70298US0-116